

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/712,865 | MCCORMICK ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Robert W. Gibson, Jr. | 3634 | |

All Participants:

(1) Robert W. Gibson, Jr.

(2) Mr. Joseph Hetz

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 25 April 2005
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

Proposed rejection of claim 23 as being anticipated by Byrom.

Claims discussed:

23

Prior art documents discussed:


Byrom

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was agreed that new claims 28-35 are allowable over the prior art of record, and that claims 23-27 would be allowable if amended as shown in the attached Examiner's amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)